

## MHHS Design Advisory Group (DAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 15/02/2024

Meeting Number **DAG033**

Venue **Virtual – MS Teams**

Meeting Date and Time **14 February 2024 10:00-13:00**

Classification **Public**

### Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
<b>Minutes and Actions</b>	DAG33-01	Programme to clarify whether design principles still apply in previous meeting minutes	Programme (Paul Pettit)	06/03/2024
	DAG33-02	Identify items from CR024 & CR025 to be proposed to be brought forward	Large Supplier Representative (Craig Handford)	28/02/2024
	DAG33-03	Programme to issue comms shared on 17/01/2024 covering implications of CR036 on Testing with DAG members	Programme (PMO)	15/02/2024
<b>CR039 Decision</b>	DAG33-04	Programme to confirm impacts of CR039 on Testing	Programme (Lee Cox)	21/02/2024
<b>CR040 Decision</b>	DAG33-05	Programme to consider scoping out a review of the impact of adjusting NFRs on the MHHS TOM process	Programme (Paul Pettit)	06/03/2024
<b>CR041 Decision</b>	DAG32-06	Programme to provide further detail on the change freeze criteria and how change requests are progressed into normal BSC/REC/SEC BAU	Programme (PMO)	06/03/2024
<b>CR043 Impact Assessment</b>	DAG33-07	Amendments to be made to CR043 before it is submitted to IA – confirmation of when rerouting should happen during Migration and clarity of target implementation in IR8	Exelon Change Raiser (Mike Ceney)	16/02/2024
	DAG33-08	To confirm in CR form that alternate options have been discounted and are not for consideration as part of IA	Exelon Change Raiser (Mike Ceney)	15/02/2024

	DAG33-09	Programme to issue CR043 for IA following Change Raiser amendments	Programme (PMO)	16/02/2024
--	----------	--	-----------------	------------

## Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
<b>CR039 Decision</b>	DAG-DEC90	The SRO approved Programme Change Request 039 (Registration Service IF-40 Change) for release in Interim Release 8 on 03 April 2024 to be tested in SIT Cycle 3
<b>CR040 Decision</b>	DAG-DEC91	The SRO approved Programme Change Request 040 (Change to NFR E2E1009 for DNO and iDNO roles) for release in Interim Release 8 on 03 April 2024 to be tested in SIT Cycle 3
<b>CR041 Decision</b>	DAG-DEC92	The SRO rejected Programme Change Request 041 (Change the format of Market Participant Code from Char(1) to Char(2)) on the grounds that it does not meet the requirements of the Change Freeze, but that it should be progressed post M10 by BSC Co BAU change process
<b>CR043 Impact Assessment</b>	DAG-DEC93	The SRO approved Programme Change Request 043 (Supplier Registration of ABMU and MPAN Mapping) for issuance to Impact Assessment subject to amendments to add clarity around when rerouting should happen during Migration and confirmation of the target implementation in IR8

## Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
<b>Minutes and Actions</b>	<p>The change marked in the Headline Report of the previous DAG and November meeting was approved subject to Programme action (<b>ACTION DAG33-01</b>) to confirm whether the design principles still apply.</p> <p>Action wording and updates can be found within the meeting papers and discussion points are summarised below:</p> <p><b>DAG31-05:</b> The Large Supplier representative requested an update from the Programme at the next DAG. The Programme will issue an update following the receipt of the IPA decision and recommendations regarding the CR036 appeal. Action ongoing.</p> <p><b>DAG31-06:</b> The Large Supplier representative raised a concern that the solution of CR032 is no longer suitable. The Programme confirmed that CR032 was published in IR7 in January 2024. Action closed.</p> <p><b>DAG33-11/23:</b> The Large Supplier representative requested that the implementation of CRs 024 and 025 was brought forward. It was noted that the Programme implemented the required items from CRs 024 and 025 in IR5. The Large Supplier representative will submit specific update requirements to the design team (<b>ACTION DAG33-02</b>). Action ongoing.</p> <p><b>DAG32-01:</b> The Programme confirmed that the changes to the Electralink flow formats will not impact DIP flow versioning. The two D-Flows that will be impacted have been clarified in drafting. Action closed.</p>

	<p><b>DAG31-06:</b> The Programme advised that P0210 reports will be tested under a Programme SIT Functional test phase. A meeting is being held on 15/02/2024 to agree on an implementation date, which will allow for a test date to be planned. Action ongoing.</p> <p><b>DAG31-08:</b> The Programme advised CR036 and its implications for SIT Functional (SIT F) Cycle 1 had been raised at the SIT Working Group (SITWG). No specific issues were raised by SITWG attendees. The implications on agent appointments were communicated via Programme comms on 17/01/2024, which the Programme will issue to DAG members (<b>ACTION DAG33-03</b>). Action ongoing.</p> <p><b>DAG32-04:</b> The Programme confirmed that they will have MPRS ready to test CR036 at the start of SIT Functional Cycle 2. Risk 779 has been raised to reflect the agreed risk that St Clements Services may not be test-ready at this stage. Action ongoing.</p> <p><b>DAG32-03:</b> The Elexon representative confirmed that the error message response times for IF-021 is 10 minutes. Action closed.</p>
<p><b>CR039 Decision</b></p>	<p>The Programme provided an overview of the CR039 (<u>Registration Service IF-40 Change</u>) Impact Assessment report per the meeting slides and invited comments from the DAG.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent Representative noted that the inclusion of IF-40 in regression testing was missing from the Programme's response. The Programme agreed and confirmed that IF-40 would also be prioritised in regression testing. The Small Supplier representative questioned whether the functionality required if the change was not approved existed. The Change Raiser confirmed that the functionality does not exist, and that St Clements have built to the assumption that the change would be approved. The RECCo representative asked for the Programme's rationale behind their argument that the Change Request met the Change Freeze requirements, and raised concerns regarding Avanade's potential need to reprioritise delivery in IR8 as a result of the change. The Programme confirmed that they believe that the change is required for St Clements and LDSOs to achieve M10 on time, and that the Programme SRO is monitoring the impact to the DIP. The Supplier Agent Representative requested that the Programme confirms the impact of CR039 on testing following its approval (<b>ACTION DAG33-04</b>).</p> <p>The Chair asked whether there were any objections to approving the CR. Five objections were raised, from the representatives of the Large Suppliers, Small Suppliers, RECCo, Elexon and I&amp;C Suppliers. Their reasoning included the Change Freeze, the knock-on impacts to Small Suppliers, and the significant change to the design. Four representatives supported the approval of the CR, the representatives of the DNOs, iDNOs and both Supplier Agents. One abstention came from the NGESO representative as the change does not impact them. Following a conversation with the independent IPA, the Chair, using delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the CR for implementation, to be published in IR8 and tested in SIT Cycle 3 (<b>DECISION DAG-DEC90</b>).</p>
<p><b>CR040 Decision</b></p>	<p>The Programme provided an overview of the CR040 (<u>Change to NFR E2E1009 for DNO IDNO roles (LDSO UMSO Registrations)</u>) Impact Assessment report per the meeting slides and invited comments from the DAG.</p> <p>It was confirmed that the CR applies to DNOs, iDNOs and UMS. The Supplier Agent representative questioned whether the CR could be more generally applied to all Programme Participants. The Programme reiterated their position that as the CR is a request for LDSOs only, the NFR relaxation will not be extended during the Change Freeze. The Large Supplier representative raised concerns about the impact of layering multiple NFR relaxations on Programme timelines. The Programme agreed to scope out a review of the impact of adjusting NFRs on the MHHS TOM process (<b>ACTION DAG33-05</b>).</p> <p>The Chair asked whether there were any objections to approving the CR. The Supplier Agent representative objected due to the change contributing to the erosion of NFRs and the move away from real time; the Large Supplier representative objected as they felt unclear on the full impact of implementing the</p>

	<p>CR. The representatives of the DNOs, IDNOs, Small Suppliers and I&amp;C Suppliers supported the change. The Small and I&amp;C Supplier representatives encouraged the Programme to review their decision not to extend the CR to all parties. The NGESO, RECCo and Elexon representatives abstained due to the CR having to impact on them. Following a conversation with the independent IPA, the Chair, using delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the CR for implementation, to be published in IR8 and tested in SIT Cycle 3 (<b>DECISION DAG-DEC91</b>).</p>
<p><b>CR041 Decision</b></p>	<p>The Programme provided an overview of the CR041 (<u>Change the format of Market Participant Role Code from Char(1) to Char(2)</u>) Impact Assessment report per the meeting slides and invited comments from the DAG.</p> <p>The Elexon representative stated that the risk of not approving the CR extends into non-MHHS changes – it is possible that changes may be raised outside of the BSC that need a new role code. They requested that the Programme reviews how the Change Freeze is implemented. The RECCo representative noted that not implementing the change could bring the need for an urgent Change Request in the future when a role code was needed.</p> <p>The Change Raiser stated that they set up conversations with seven of the eight rejecting respondents (excluding the Programme). One was concerned about their ability to meet SIT but was happy to implement the change at M10. Two were happy to support the implementation of the CR following their conversation with the Change Raiser. Four respondents maintained their rejections. The Change Raiser confirmed that no padding of existing single digit role codes would be required.</p> <p>The Chair asked whether there were any objections to approving the CR. The representatives of the I&amp;C Suppliers, Large Suppliers, Small Suppliers and both Supplier Agents objected on the grounds of the Change Freeze. All agreed that the change was sensible but is not required at this stage during the Change Freeze. The representatives of the DNOs, IDNOs, Elexon, RECCo and NGESO supported the approval of the CR as a means of future proofing. Following a conversation with the independent IPA, the Chair, using delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), rejected the CR on the grounds of the Change Freeze, with a recommendation to implement the CR in BAU processes post-M10 (<b>DECISION DAG-DEC92</b>).</p>
<p><b>CR043 Impact Assessment</b></p>	<p>The Change Raiser provided an overview of CR043 (<u>Supplier Registration of ABMU and MPAN Mapping</u>) per the meeting slides. Following a question from the NGESO representative, the Change Raiser confirmed that the impact on BSCP15 would be a case of a minor wording adjustment.</p> <p>The Change Raiser agreed to update the CR to confirm when rerouting should happen during migration, and to clearly state that the change would have a target implementation of being published in IR8 in April 2024 (<b>ACTION DAG33-07</b>).</p> <p>DAG members mentioned that the 'Alternative Options' segment of the CR form was causing confusion when completing IA responses. The Programme clarified that alternate options were options that had been considered by a Change Raiser and discounted and agreed to make this more explicit in the CR form (<b>ACTION DAG34-08</b>).</p> <p>The Chair asked whether there were any objections to issuing the CR to IA, noting the amendments requested. No objections were raised and the Chair, using SRO delegated authority, determined the CR should be issue for IA. (<b>DECISION DAG-DEC93</b>).</p>
<p><b>Misc.</b></p>	<p>The Chair requested that if DAG members had any questions regarding the content of the remaining slides in the DAG pack, they should be submitted to the PMO and would be addressed offline.</p>

**Next meeting: 13 March 2024 10am**